

## Tenth Community Meeting Arduino ESP32 Core

27/04/2023



### Content

• Meeting Rules

#### Development Updates & Plans

- 2.0.8 release
- release plan for 2.0.X versions based on IDF 4.4.X
- status update of 3.0.0 release
- Cl updates

#### Community topics

- Communication
- Automatic code formatting
- Breaking change #7942
- Documentation
- Pull Requests clean-up
- Issues labelling and clean-up
- Clarify Licensing
- Open Discussion



### **Community Meeting Purpose**



#### Meeting rules

- Polite and friendly environment
- Consider different knowledge levels of the participants
- If you have any comments or questions, don't hesitate to ask



### **Development Updates**

#### 2.0.8 released last week

- Bug fix release (34k downloads so far)
- 2.X.X versions are design freeze

#### Regressions

<u>Completely inline the helper pure abstract</u> <u>FlashStringHelper class #7941</u>

[Merged] Breaks external libraries: ESPASyncWebserver, TASMOTA, etc

#### To be discussed

• Esp insights library support #7566

[v2.0.6] PR changed partition structure. Upgrading firmware in field based on v2.0.5 to v2.0.6/7 causes issues due to changed partitions. Workaround: use a custom partition definition.



Author

## **Development Updates**

#### 3.0.0 release

- <u>Roadmap</u> adding tasks to Backlog
- Main focus is now on Peripherals
- Overview of ESP-IDF 5.1 Support

#### **Branches explanation**





mrengineer7777 2 weeks ago Collaborator

Topic: Moving master to 3.0.0. Currently PRs are targeting master, 2.x, or 3.0.0. It's confusing!

#### Discussion:

A reasonable expectation is that master should always compile and work correctly. 2.x branch has already diverged from master

3.x branch may not compile correctly and still needs a lot of library fixes



## **Development Updates**

#### **CI Updates**

- External Library testing
  - documentation
  - <u>results</u>
  - triggering tests for PRs
- New boards tests
  - [CI] Added New Board Test
  - <u>CI All boards test</u>



### **Community Topics**



. . .

## Communication

mrengineer7777 2 weeks ago (Collaborator) Author

**Topic: Communication** 

Please talk to us! We have several active developers in this community that know the ESP32 well enough to improve libraries, like me. However we don't necessarily know "why" things were done a certain way, so our PRs may not be acceptable for merging. Need timely feedback on PRs. Help us to help you.

A live comunications channel like Teams or Slack would be amazing. Even email would be acceptable if it doesn't get lost. Gitter arduino-esp32 channel is used for general user issues.

Gitter esp32-arduino-devs (private) channel can be helpful. Notification of messages is poor and group chat nature means it gets ignored a lot.



## Automatic code formatting

• Add action to auto format pull requests and pushes #7975





# #7942 Breaking change

- Related discussion



- Related discussion





### **Issues labeling & Clean-up**

#### From previous Meeting

To-Do: FAQ, active members can have access to Labels, core Devs channel on Gitter refreshed





## PR Clean-up

mrengineer7777 2 weeks ago Collaborator Author	•••
Topic: PR cleanup	
Need help here from Espressif employees! What would it take to implement or close out old PRs?	
	1 reply
<ul> <li>VojtechBartoska yesterday Maintainer</li> <li>We did last Cleanup in Autumn 2022, we have left around 40 PRs. Some of them serve as a reminders, others need be processed or investigated again. We will have another Clean-up session in May.</li> <li>Let's put this at the bottom of our Agenda and if we have time, we can discuss this.</li> <li>If there is any PR which anyone would like to move forward, don't hesitate to link it here, we can prioritize it.</li> </ul>	ed to
Write a reply	

## Clarify Licensing

No updates from previous Meeting Slide is from previous Meeting

- issues in Commercial use
- LGPL license limitation
- ideally Apache 2.0 license

#### **Current state**

- we have a list of contributors from whom we are missing their agreement (we'll try to contact them, email & tag them in the issue)
- We'll create an overview of licenses (up to that, define next steps)

Some steps that could be useful would be: (suggest by @dalbert2)

- Clearly identify the licenses currently used in the core, highlighting which are viral (GPLv3, GPLv2, LGPL, etc.)
- Document a solution for mixing closed and LGPL (and maybe GPL pre-v3) open-source code: how to separate and provide closed-source objects that can be re-linked with open-source modules.
- Launch projects (perhaps with a crowd-funding mechanism) to re-implement any portions of the core that are GPL or LGPL, replacing them with a more permissive license.
- It might also be worth asking library copyright holders if they would consider less restrictive or commercial licenses.





### **Open Discussion**



### Next Community Meeting Invitation

• Thursday – 29.6.2023 at 4 pm (GMT+1 time zone)



# Thank you !

